

# GALOIS THEORY FOR NONCOMMUTATIVE RINGS AND NORMAL BASES<sup>(1)</sup>

BY  
H. F. KREIMER

**Introduction.** The author [5] has formulated sufficient conditions on a ring  $B$  and a group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$  to derive a Galois theory of noncommutative rings which extends the Galois theory of commutative rings developed by Chase, Harrison, and Rosenberg [3]. This paper continues the study of that Galois theory, investigating the structure of the lattice of left ideals in  $B$  and the existence of normal bases for  $B$ .

**1.  $G$ -invariant ideals.** In subsequent use, ring will mean ring with identity element, subring of a ring will mean subring which contains the identity element of the ring, and the identity element of a ring will be denoted by 1. The following definitions are listed here for convenient reference.

(1.1) DEFINITION. A set  $S$  of homomorphisms of ring  $A$  into ring  $B$  is strongly independent if, whenever  $m$  is a positive integer and  $\phi_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , are distinct elements of  $S$ , there exist a positive integer  $n$  and elements  $x_j \in A$  and  $y_j \in B$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \phi_1) \cdot y_j = 1\phi_1$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \phi_i) \cdot y_j = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq m$ .

(1.2) DEFINITION. Let  $G$  be a group of automorphisms of a ring  $B$  and let  $I(G) = \{b \in B \mid b\sigma = b, \sigma \in G\}$ .

(i) A subring  $A$  of  $B$  is  $G$ -admissible if  $I(G) \subseteq A$ , the set  $S$  of restrictions of elements of  $G$  to  $A$  is a finite strongly independent set of homomorphisms of  $A$  into  $B$ , and  $I(G)$  is a direct summand of the left  $I(G)$ -module  $A$ .

(ii)  $B$  is a  $K$ -ring with respect to  $G$  if any finite subset of  $B$  is contained in a  $G$ -admissible subring of  $B$ .

(1.3) DEFINITION. Let  $G$  be a group of automorphisms of a ring  $B$ . A subset  $T$  of  $B$  is  $G$ -invariant if  $b\sigma \in T$  whenever  $b \in T$  and  $\sigma \in G$ .

If  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of a ring  $B$  and  $P$  is a  $G$ -invariant two-sided ideal in  $B$ ,  $P \neq B$ , then each automorphism in  $G$  induces an automorphism of the residue class ring  $B/P$  and the correspondence to each automorphism in  $G$  of the induced automorphism in  $B/P$  is a representation of  $G$  as a group of automorphisms of  $B/P$ .

(1.4) THEOREM. Let  $B$  be a  $K$ -ring with respect to a group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$ , and let  $P$  be a  $G$ -invariant two-sided ideal in  $B$ ,  $P \neq B$ . The canonical representation of  $G$  as a group of automorphisms of  $B/P$  is faithful,  $B/P$  is a  $K$ -ring with

---

Presented to the Society, January 25, 1967; received by the editors April 25, 1966.

<sup>(1)</sup> The author gratefully acknowledges support in his research from the National Science Foundation under grants GP-3800 and GP-3895.

respect to  $G$ , and  $(I(G)+P)/P$  is the subring of elements of  $B/P$  which are invariant under  $G$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -admissible subring of  $B$ ; let  $S$  be the set of restrictions of elements of  $G$  to  $A$ ; and, for  $\phi \in S$ , let  $\bar{\phi}$  be the induced homomorphism of  $(A+P)/P$  into  $B/P$ . If  $\phi_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , are the distinct elements of  $S$  for some positive integer  $m$ , indexed arbitrarily, there exist a positive integer  $n$  and elements  $x_j \in A$  and  $y_j \in B, 1 \leq j \leq n$ , such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \phi_1) \cdot y_j = 1$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j \phi_i) \cdot y_j = 0$  for  $2 \leq i \leq m$ . Reducing these equations modulo  $P$ , it is evident that the  $\bar{\phi}_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , are distinct and strongly independent homomorphisms of  $(A+P)/P$  into  $B/P$ . Suppose  $a \in A$  and  $a - a\sigma \in P$  for  $\sigma \in G$ . There exists  $c \in A$  such that  $\sum_{\phi \in S} c\phi = 1$  [5, Lemma 3.2], and  $a - \sum_{\phi \in S} (ac)\phi = \sum_{\phi \in S} (a - a\phi)(c\phi) \in P$ . But  $\sum_{\phi \in S} (ac)\phi \in I(G)$ . Since any finite subset of  $B$  is contained in a  $G$ -admissible subring of  $B$ , it follows that distinct elements of  $G$  induce distinct automorphisms of  $B/P$  and  $(I(G)+P)/P$  is the subring of elements of  $B/P$  which are invariant under  $G$ .

Considering again the given  $G$ -admissible subring  $A$  of  $B, I(G) \subseteq A$  and, therefore,  $(I(G)+P)/P$  is a subring of  $(A+P)/P$ . The set  $\bar{S}$  of restrictions to  $(A+P)/P$  of the automorphisms of  $B/P$  induced by elements of  $G$  is just the set of homomorphisms of  $(A+P)/P$  into  $B/P$  induced by elements of  $S$ , and this set is finite and has been shown to be strongly independent. If  $c \in A$  is such that  $\sum_{\phi \in S} c\phi = 1$ , then  $(c+P) \in (A+P)/P$  and  $\sum_{\phi \in S} (c+P)\bar{\phi} = 1+P$ . It follows from [5, Lemma 2.8], that  $(A+P)/P$  is a  $G$ -admissible subring of  $B/P$ . If  $F$  is a finite subset of  $B/P$ , select a finite subset of  $B$  which contains a representative element from each residue class which is an element of  $F$  and suppose  $A$  is a  $G$ -admissible subring of  $B$  which contains this finite subset of  $B$ .  $(A+P)/P$  is a  $G$ -admissible subring of  $B/P$  which contains  $F$ . Consequently  $B/P$  is a  $K$ -ring with respect to  $G$ .

Let  $G$  be a group of automorphisms of a ring  $B$  and let  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$  be the ring of right  $I(G)$ -module endomorphisms of  $B$ .  $B$  is a right  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$ -module. For  $b \in B$ , let  $b_L$  denote the mapping  $x \rightarrow bx$  of  $B$  into itself.  $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$  for  $\sigma \in G$  and  $b_L \in \text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$  for  $b \in B$ .

(1.5) PROPOSITION. Let  $B$  be a  $K$ -ring with respect to a finite group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$ . If  $M$  is a right  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$ -module and  $M_0 = \{x \in M \mid x\sigma = x, \sigma \in G\}$ , then  $M_0$  is a left  $I(G)$ -module such that the right  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$ -module homomorphism of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} M_0$  into  $M$  which maps  $b \otimes x$  onto  $xb_L$  for  $b \in B$  and  $x \in M_0$  is an isomorphism onto  $M$ .

**Proof.**  $B$  is a  $G$ -admissible subring of itself by [5, Corollary 3.7]. Regard  $B$  as a right  $I(G)$ -module and let  $\Omega = \text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$ .  $B$  is a finitely generated, projective right  $I(G)$ -module by [5, Proposition 3.5]. By [5, Lemma 3.2], there exists  $c \in B$  such that  $\sum_{\sigma \in G} c\sigma = 1$ . Therefore  $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma$  is a right  $I(G)$ -module epimorphism of  $B$  onto  $I(G)$  and the evaluation map of  $B \otimes_{\Omega} \text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, I(G))$  into  $I(G)$  is an  $I(G)-I(G)$  bimodule epimorphism. By [1, Proposition A.6], the right  $\Omega$ -module

homomorphism of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} \text{Hom}_\Omega(B, M)$  into  $M$  which maps  $b \otimes f$  onto  $bf = (1b_L)f = (1f)b_L$  for  $b \in B$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_\Omega(B, M)$  is an isomorphism. But the ring  $\Omega$  is generated by its elements  $\sigma \in G$  and  $b_L, b \in B$ , [5, Propositions 1.2 and 3.5]; and the mapping  $f \rightarrow 1f, f \in \text{Hom}_\Omega(B, M)$ , is a one-to-one correspondence of the set  $\text{Hom}_\Omega(B, M)$  onto the set  $M_0$ . The proposition results from identifying  $M_0$  with  $\text{Hom}_\Omega(B, M)$  by this one-to-one correspondence.

A direct proof of this proposition can also be given by adapting to the present considerations the appropriate part of the proof of [3, Theorem 1.3].

(1.6) THEOREM. *Let  $B$  be a  $K$ -ring with respect to a group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$ . The mapping  $P \rightarrow P \cap I(G)$  is an isomorphism of the lattice of  $G$ -invariant left ideals in  $B$  onto the lattice of left ideals in  $I(G)$ , and the inverse of this isomorphism is the mapping  $Q \rightarrow B \cdot Q$ . Moreover, for any left ideal  $Q$  in  $I(G)$ , the left  $B$ -module homomorphism of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} Q$  into  $B \cdot Q$  which maps  $b \otimes c$  onto  $bc$  for  $b \in B$  and  $c \in Q$  is an isomorphism.*

**Proof.** Let  $P$  be a  $G$ -invariant left ideal in  $B$ . Clearly  $P \cap I(G)$  is a left ideal in  $I(G)$  and  $B \cdot (P \cap I(G)) \subseteq P$ . Suppose  $A$  is  $G$ -invariant,  $G$ -admissible subring of  $B$ .  $A = I(H)$  for some subgroup  $H$  of finite index in  $G$  [5, Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 3.5], and  $H$  must be an invariant subgroup of  $G$ . By [5, Proposition 3.9],  $A$  is a  $K$ -ring with respect to the group  $G'$  of automorphisms of  $A$  which are restrictions of elements of  $G$ .  $G'$  is a finite group,  $I(G') = I(G)$ , and  $A$  is a  $G'$ -admissible subring of itself by [5, Corollary 3.7].  $P \cap A$  is a  $G'$ -invariant left ideal in  $A$ , and the ring  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(A, A)$  of right  $I(G)$ -module endomorphisms of  $A$  is generated by its elements  $\tau \in G'$  and  $a_L, a \in A$  [5, Propositions 1.2 and 3.5]. Therefore  $P \cap A$  is a right  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(A, A)$ -module. Letting  $M = P \cap A$  and applying Proposition 1.5,  $M_0 = P \cap I(G)$  and the right  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(A, A)$ -module homomorphism  $\pi'$  of  $A \otimes_{I(G)} (P \cap I(G))$  into  $P \cap A$  which maps  $a \otimes x$  onto  $xa_L = ax$  for  $a \in A$  and  $x \in P \cap I(G)$  is an isomorphism. Letting  $i$  be the injection map of  $A$  into  $B$  and  $\pi$  be the left  $B$ -module homomorphism of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (P \cap I(G))$  into  $B \cdot (P \cap I(G))$  which maps  $b \otimes c$  onto  $bc$  for  $b \in B$  and  $c \in P \cap I(G)$ , it is easily verified that  $\pi$  is an epimorphism and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_{I(G)} (P \cap I(G)) & \xrightarrow{i \otimes 1} & B \otimes_{I(G)} (P \cap I(G)) \\ \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi \\ P \cap A & \subseteq & B \cdot (P \cap I(G)) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Since any finite subset of  $B$  is contained in a  $G$ -invariant,  $G$ -admissible subring of  $B$  [5, Proposition 3.9], it follows that  $P = B \cdot (P \cap I(G))$  and that  $\pi$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $Q$  be a left ideal in  $I(G)$ . It is easily verified that  $B \cdot Q$  is a  $G$ -invariant left ideal in  $B$  and  $Q \subseteq (B \cdot Q) \cap I(G)$ . Suppose  $c \in (B \cdot Q) \cap I(G)$ , say  $c = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \cdot c_j$  where  $n$  is a positive integer and  $b_j \in B, c_j \in Q$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . If  $A$  is a  $G$ -admissible

subring of  $B$  which contains the finite set  $\{b_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  and  $S$  is the set of restrictions of elements of  $G$  to  $A$ , there exists  $d \in A$  such that  $\sum_{\phi \in S} d\phi = 1$  [5, Lemma 3.2].

$$c = \sum_{\phi \in S} (dc)\phi = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{\phi \in S} (db_j)\phi \right) \cdot c_j$$

and

$$\sum_{\phi \in S} (db_j)\phi \in I(G), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Therefore  $c \in Q$  and  $Q = B \cdot Q \cap I(G)$ . It is now established that the mapping  $P \rightarrow P \cap I(G)$  of the lattice of  $G$ -invariant left ideals in  $B$  into the lattice of left ideals in  $I(G)$  and the mapping  $Q \rightarrow B \cdot Q$  of the lattice of left ideals in  $I(G)$  into the lattice of  $G$ -invariant left ideals in  $B$  are inverses to each other. Since both mappings preserve order, they are lattice isomorphisms.

Several consequences of Theorem 1.6 may be worth observing. Let  $B$  be a  $K$ -ring with respect to a group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$ . If  $B$  is a left Artinian, respectively Noetherian, ring then  $I(G)$  is a left Artinian, respectively Noetherian, ring. Indeed, if the lattice of left ideals in  $B$  satisfies the minimum, respectively maximum, condition, then the sublattice of  $G$ -invariant left ideals in  $B$  also satisfies this condition, and the lattice of left ideals in  $I(G)$  must satisfy the same condition by Theorem 1.6. If  $B$  is a (commutative) local ring and  $P$  is the unique maximal ideal in  $B$ , then  $P$  is a  $G$ -invariant ideal in  $B$  and it is an all element or identity element in the lattice of  $G$ -invariant ideals in  $B$ . Therefore, by Theorem 1.6,  $P \cap I(G)$  is a maximal ideal in  $I(G)$ , it is unique, and  $I(G)$  is a local ring. Moreover, the canonical representation of  $G$  as a group of automorphisms of  $B/P$  is faithful, and  $(I(G) + P)/P$  is the subring of elements of  $B/P$  which are invariant under  $G$  by Theorem 1.4. There is a canonical ring isomorphism of  $I(G)/(P \cap I(G))$  onto  $(I(G) + P)/P$ , and  $G$  is isomorphic to a dense subgroup of the group of all automorphisms of the residue class field  $B/P$  over the residue class field  $I(G)/(P \cap I(G))$  with respect to the finite topology. In particular, if  $G$  is finite, then  $B/P$  is a finite dimensional field extension of  $I(G)/(P \cap I(G))$  and  $G$  is isomorphic to the Galois group of  $B/P$  over  $I(G)/(P \cap I(G))$ .

(1.7) LEMMA. *Let  $R$  be a two-sided ideal contained in the radical of a ring  $A$ , let  $M$  be a finitely generated right  $A$ -module, and let  $N$  be a finitely generated, projective right  $A$ -module. If  $f$  is an  $A$ -module homomorphism of  $M$  into  $N$  such that  $f \otimes 1$  is an isomorphism of  $M \otimes_A (A/R)$  onto  $N \otimes_A (A/R)$ , then  $f$  is an isomorphism of  $M$  onto  $N$ .*

**Proof.** If  $f \otimes 1$  is an epimorphism, then  $f$  is an epimorphism by [2, §6, No. 3, Corollary 4 to Proposition 6]. Since  $N$  is a projective right  $A$ -module, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker f \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N \longrightarrow 0$$

splits, and the derived sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\ker f) \otimes_A (A/R) \longrightarrow M \otimes_A (A/R) \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} N \otimes_A (A/R) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. If  $f \otimes 1$  is an isomorphism, then  $(\ker f) \otimes_A (A/R) = 0$ . But  $\ker f$  is a finitely generated right  $A$ -module, since it is a direct summand of the finitely generated right  $A$ -module  $M$ . Therefore  $\ker f = 0$  by [2, §6, No. 3, Corollary 3 to Proposition 6], and  $f$  is an isomorphism.

(1.8) PROPOSITION. *Let  $B$  be a  $K$ -ring with respect to a finite group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$ , and let  $m$  be the order of  $G$ . If  $I(G)$  is a semilocal subring of the center of  $B$ , then  $B$  is a free  $I(G)$ -module of rank  $m$ .*

**Proof.** If  $I(G)$  is a semilocal subring of the center of  $B$ , there are only finitely many maximal ideals in  $I(G)$ . Denote the distinct maximal ideals in  $I(G)$  by  $Q_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$  ranging over some finite indexing set  $\Gamma$ , and let  $R = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} Q_\gamma$ . There is a canonical  $I(G)$ -module isomorphism of  $I(G)/R$  onto the direct sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} I(G)/Q_\gamma$ , which determines an  $I(G)$ -module isomorphism of  $M \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  onto the direct sum  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} M \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/Q_\gamma)$  for any  $I(G)$ -module  $M$ . Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . By Theorem 1.6,  $B \cdot Q_\gamma$  is a  $G$ -invariant ideal in  $B$  and  $B \cdot Q_\gamma \cap I(G) = Q_\gamma$ . Moreover  $B \cdot Q_\gamma$  is a two-sided ideal in  $B$  and the  $I(G)$ -modules  $B/B \cdot Q_\gamma$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/Q_\gamma)$ , derived from the  $I(G)$ -module  $B$ , are isomorphic. Letting  $\bar{B}$  denote the residue class ring  $B/B \cdot Q_\gamma$  and  $C$  denote the subring  $(I(G) + B \cdot Q_\gamma)/B \cdot Q_\gamma$  of  $\bar{B}$ , the canonical representation of  $G$  as a group of automorphisms of  $\bar{B}$  is faithful,  $\bar{B}$  is a  $K$ -ring with respect to  $G$ , and  $C$  is the subring of elements of  $\bar{B}$  which are invariant under  $G$ .  $C$  is canonically isomorphic to  $I(G)/(B \cdot Q_\gamma \cap I(G)) = I(G)/Q_\gamma$ , both as a ring and as an  $I(G)$ -module. Since  $Q_\gamma$  is a maximal ideal in  $I(G)$ ,  $C$  is a field.  $\bar{B}$  is a  $G$ -admissible subring of itself by [5, Corollary 3.7]; and  $\bar{B}$ , which is an algebra over  $C$ , must be finite dimensional over  $C$  by [5, Proposition 3.5]. If  $n$  is the dimension of  $\bar{B}$  over  $C$ , then  $n^2$  is the dimension of the algebra  $\text{Hom}_C(\bar{B}, \bar{B})$  over  $C$ . But  $\text{Hom}_C(\bar{B}, \bar{B})$  is a free left  $\bar{B}$ -module on the set  $G$  of  $m$  elements by [5, Propositions 1.2 and 3.5]; consequently, the dimension of  $\text{Hom}_C(\bar{B}, \bar{B})$  over  $C$  is  $m \cdot n$ . Therefore  $m = n$  and the  $I(G)$ -module  $\bar{B} \cong B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/Q_\gamma)$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $m$  copies of the  $I(G)$ -module  $C \cong I(G)/Q_\gamma$ . Thus, if  $I(G)^m$  is a free  $I(G)$ -module on a set of  $m$  elements, the  $I(G)$ -modules  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/Q_\gamma)$  and  $I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/Q_\gamma)$  are isomorphic for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Consequently, the  $I(G)$ -modules  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  and  $I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  are isomorphic. Let  $f$  be a homomorphism of the free  $I(G)$ -module  $I(G)^m$  into  $B$  such that  $f \otimes 1$  is an isomorphism of  $I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  onto  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$ .  $R$  is the radical of  $I(G)$  and  $f$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.7. Therefore  $B$  is a free  $I(G)$ -module of rank  $m$ .

2. **Normal bases.** Let  $G$  be a group of automorphisms of a ring  $B$ , let  $Z$  denote the ring of integers, and let  $Z(G)$  denote the group ring of  $G$ . With the usual definition of multiplication for the tensor product of algebras,  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  is a ring.  $B$  is a right  $I(G)$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$ -module, the action of  $G$  on  $B$  determines a ring homomorphism of  $Z(G)$  into  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$ , and thereby  $B$  becomes a right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -module.

(2.1) DEFINITION.  $B$  has a normal basis with respect to a group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$  if there exists a right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -module isomorphism of  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  onto  $B$ .

$Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  is a free right  $I(G)$ -module on the set  $G$ . If  $B$  has a normal basis with respect to  $G$  and  $b \in B$  is the image of the identity element of  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  under a right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  isomorphism of  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  onto  $B$ , then  $B$  is a free right  $I(G)$ -module and  $\{b\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}$  is a set of free generators for the right  $I(G)$ -module  $B$ . Conversely, if  $B$  is a free right  $I(G)$ -module and there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $\{b\sigma \mid \sigma \in G\}$  is a set of free generators for the right  $I(G)$ -module  $B$ , then the mapping  $\sigma \rightarrow b\sigma$ ,  $\sigma \in G$ , determines a unique right  $I(G)$ -module isomorphism of  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  onto  $B$  and this isomorphism is a right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -module isomorphism.

Even when  $B$  is a simple Artinian ring and a  $K$ -ring with respect to a finite group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$ ,  $B$  may fail to have a normal basis with respect to  $G$ .

(2.2) EXAMPLE. Let  $\Delta$  be a division ring of characteristic different from two and let  $\Delta_3$  be the ring of  $3 \times 3$  matrices over  $\Delta$ . Let  $I$  and  $0$  denote the identity and zero matrices, respectively, in  $\Delta_3$ ; and let  $E_{ij}$  denote the element of  $\Delta_3$  with entry 1 in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column and entry 0 elsewhere, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the inner automorphism of  $\Delta_3$  determined by  $E_{11} + E_{22} - E_{33}$ . If  $a_{ij} \in \Delta$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & -a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & -a_{23} \\ -a_{31} & -a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\sigma$  generates a subgroup  $G$  of order two in the group of all automorphisms of  $\Delta_3$ .

$I(G) = (\Delta E_{11} + \Delta E_{12} + \Delta E_{21} + \Delta E_{22}) + \Delta E_{33}$ . Let  $X_1 = I$ ,  $X_2 = E_{13} + E_{31}$ ,  $X_3 = E_{23}$ ,  $Y_1 = \frac{1}{2}I$ ,  $Y_2 = \frac{1}{2}(E_{13} + E_{31})$ , and  $Y_3 = \frac{1}{2}E_{32}$ . Then  $X_1 Y_1 + X_2 Y_2 + X_3 Y_3 = I$  and  $(X_1 \sigma) Y_1 + (X_2 \sigma) Y_2 + (X_3 \sigma) Y_3 = 0$ . From these equations it follows readily that  $G$  is a strongly independent set of automorphisms of  $\Delta_3$ . Moreover, as a left  $I(G)$ -module,  $\Delta_3 = I(G) \oplus (\Delta E_{13} + \Delta E_{23} + \Delta E_{31} + \Delta E_{32})$ . Therefore  $\Delta_3$  is a  $K$ -ring with respect to  $G$  [5, Corollary 3.7].  $I(G) = (\Delta E_{11} + \Delta E_{12}) \oplus (\Delta E_{21} + \Delta E_{22}) \oplus \Delta E_{33}$  is a decomposition of  $I(G)$  as a direct sum of minimal right ideals, while  $\Delta_3 = (\Delta E_{11} + \Delta E_{12}) \oplus (\Delta E_{21} + \Delta E_{22}) \oplus (\Delta E_{31} + \Delta E_{32}) \oplus \Delta E_{13} \oplus \Delta E_{23} \oplus \Delta E_{33}$  is a decomposition of the right  $I(G)$ -module  $\Delta_3$  as a direct sum of irreducible submodules. Evidently,  $\Delta_3$  is not a free right  $I(G)$ -module nor can  $\Delta_3$  be generated as a right  $I(G)$ -module by fewer than three elements. Therefore  $\Delta_3$  does not have a normal basis with respect to  $G$ .

If  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of a ring  $B$ , then  $B$  and  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  are in fact  $I(G) - Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  bimodules. Consequently,  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G))$  are right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -modules.

(2.3) LEMMA. *If  $B$  is a  $K$ -ring with respect to a finite group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$ , then there is a right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -isomorphism of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G))$  onto  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$ .*

**Proof.**  $B$  is a  $G$ -admissible subring of itself [5, Corollary 3.7].  $\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$  is a free left  $B$ -module,  $G$  is a basis for this free left  $B$ -module, and there is a canonical  $B$ - $B$  bimodule isomorphism of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$  onto  $\text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B), B)$  by [5, Propositions 1.2 and 3.5]. Under the canonical  $B$ - $B$  bimodule isomorphism of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$  onto  $\text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B), B)$ ,  $a \otimes b$  corresponds to the mapping  $f \rightarrow (af) \cdot b$  for  $a, b \in B$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}_{I(G)}(B, B)$ . If  $\{\sigma^* \mid \sigma \in G\}$  is the basis for  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$  dual to  $G$ , then in the right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -module  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$ ,  $\sigma^* \cdot \tau = (\sigma\tau)^*$  for  $\sigma, \tau \in G$ . From the equation  $b\sigma^* = \sigma^*(b\sigma)$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $\sigma \in G$ , it follows that  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$  is not only a free right  $B$ -module on the set  $\{\sigma^* \mid \sigma \in G\}$  but also a free left  $B$ -module on this same set. There is a canonical right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -module isomorphism of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G))$  onto  $B \otimes_Z Z(G)$ , and  $B \otimes_Z Z(G)$  is a free left  $B$ -module on the set  $G$ . The mapping  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^*$ ,  $\sigma \in G$ , determines a unique left  $B$ -module isomorphism of  $B \otimes_Z Z(G)$  onto  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$ , which is readily verified to be a right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -module isomorphism. Thus there is a right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -module isomorphism of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G))$  onto  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$ .

(2.4) THEOREM. *Let  $B$  be a  $K$ -ring with respect to a finite group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$ , and let  $m$  be the order of  $G$ . If  $I(G)$  is a semiprimary ring and the right  $I(G)$ -module  $B$  can be generated by a subset of  $m$  elements, then  $B$  has a normal basis with respect to  $G$ .*

**Proof.** If  $I(G)$  is a semiprimary ring and  $R$  is the radical of  $I(G)$ , then  $I(G)/R$  is a semisimple Artinian ring. Let  $I(G)^m$  be a free right  $I(G)$ -module on a set of  $m$  elements. If the right  $I(G)$ -module  $B$  can be generated by a subset of  $m$  elements, there exist a right  $I(G)$ -module epimorphism  $f$  of  $I(G)^m$  onto  $B$  and an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker f \longrightarrow I(G)^m \xrightarrow{f} B \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $B$  is a  $G$ -admissible subring of itself [5, Corollary 3.7],  $B$  is a finitely generated, projective right  $I(G)$ -module by [5, Proposition 3.5]. Therefore the derived sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\ker f) \otimes_{I(G)} B \longrightarrow I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} B \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} B \otimes_{I(G)} B \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of right  $I(G)$ -modules and  $I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} B$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$  are finitely generated, projective right  $I(G)$ -modules.  $I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  are completely reducible right  $I(G)$ -modules and  $f \otimes 1 \otimes 1$  is a right  $I(G)$ -module epimorphism of  $I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  onto  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$ . But  $I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} B$  is a free right  $B$ -module on a set of  $m$  elements as is also  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$ ; and, consequently, the right  $I(G)$ -modules  $I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  are isomorphic and have the same number of irreducible components, that number being finite since

$I(G)^m \otimes_{I(G)} B$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$  are finitely generated right  $I(G)$ -modules. Therefore  $f \otimes 1 \otimes 1$  must be an isomorphism,  $f \otimes 1$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.7, and  $(\ker f) \otimes_{I(G)} B = 0$ . Since  $B$  is a  $G$ -admissible subring of itself,  $I(G)$  is a direct summand of the left  $I(G)$ -module  $B$ ,  $\ker f = 0$ , and  $f$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $B$  is a free right  $I(G)$ -module of rank  $m$ .

By Lemma 2.3,  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)) \cong Z(G) \otimes_Z B$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B$  are isomorphic right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -modules. Then  $Z(G) \otimes_Z B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  are isomorphic right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -modules. Since  $B$  is a free right  $I(G)$ -module of rank  $m$ ; then, as right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -modules,  $Z(G) \otimes_Z B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $m$  copies of  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G) \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of  $m$  copies of  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$ . But  $Z(G) \otimes_Z B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  are finitely generated, completely reducible right  $I(G)$ -modules and therefore satisfy the maximum and minimum conditions for submodules. Thus the right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -modules  $Z(G) \otimes_Z B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  must satisfy the maximum and minimum conditions for submodules. It is a direct consequence of the Krull-Schmidt theorem that  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G) \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  and  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  must be isomorphic right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -modules. Let  $g$  be a right  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$ -module homomorphism of  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  into  $B$  such that  $g \otimes 1$  is an isomorphism of  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G) \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$  onto  $B \otimes_{I(G)} (I(G)/R)$ .  $Z(G) \otimes_Z I(G)$  and  $B$  are finitely generated, projective right  $I(G)$ -modules and  $g$  is a right  $I(G)$ -module homomorphism.  $g$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 1.7. Thus  $B$  has a normal basis with respect to  $G$ .

(2.5) COROLLARY. *If  $B$  is a  $K$ -ring with respect to a finite group  $G$  of automorphisms of  $B$  and  $I(G)$  is a semilocal subring of the center of  $B$ , then  $B$  has a normal basis with respect to  $G$ .*

**Proof.** If  $I(G)$  is a semilocal subring of the center of  $B$ , then  $I(G)$  is a semi-primary ring. The corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.8 and Theorem 2.4.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and D. Buchsbaum, *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 1-24.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Fascicule XXIII. Algèbre*, Chapitre 8, *Modules et anneaux semi-simples*, Actualités Sci. Indust. No. 1261, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
3. S. U. Chase, D. K. Harrison and Alex Rosenberg, *Galois theory and cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), pp. 15-33.
4. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Colloq. Publ., Vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1964.
5. H. F. Kreimer, *A Galois theory for noncommutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1967), 29-41.

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY,  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA